

<b>Item No.</b> 5.	<b>Classification:</b> Open	<b>Date:</b> 31 May 2011	<b>Meeting Name:</b> Cabinet
<b>Report title:</b>		Deputation Request – Residents of Jarman House and Canute Gardens, Hawkstone Estate, SE16	
<b>Ward(s) or groups affected:</b>		Jarman House and Canute Gardens Residents	
<b>From:</b>		Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance	

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That the cabinet consider whether to hear a deputation from the residents of Jarman House and Canute Gardens, Hawkstone Estate in respect of the Housing Investment Strategy item, to be considered elsewhere on the agenda.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. When considering whether to hear the deputation request, cabinet can decide
  - To receive the deputation at this meeting or a future meeting; or
  - That the deputation not be received; or
  - To refer the deputation to the most appropriate committee/sub-committee.
3. A deputation shall consist of no more than six people, including its spokesperson. Only one member of the deputation shall be allowed to address the meeting for no longer than 5 minutes. After this time cabinet members may ask questions of the deputation for up to 5 minutes. At the conclusion of the questions, the deputation will be shown to the public area where they may listen to the remainder of the open section of the meeting.
4. Any relevant resource or community impact issues will be contained in the comments of the strategic director.

## KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

5. A deputation request has been received from residents of Jarman House and Canute Gardens, Hawkstone Estate in respect of housing investment issues. The main points submitted by the deputation for cabinet consideration are summarised below:
  - For Hawkstone low rise to have structural work under the major works scheme, i.e roof and external work, double/triple glazed windows. The deputation suggest that the metal windows can be taken out from the wooden frames and double/triple glazed windows inserted into the wooden frames leaving the asbestos panels – an example of this is to be found in Jarman House. The deputation feel as the majority of tenants have lived with asbestos panels since day 1 of moving in and know not to disturb the asbestos panels, the original window frames can be painted under major works.

- For other internal works including electrics, bathroom & WC and any other works required under the project to be done by repairs & maintenance (R&M) as and when necessary. R&M had already done some internal work and renewed electrics and fitted new windows to several flats on the estate and a lot of flats already had new kitchens and bathrooms.
- Under the original costs, the contractor quoted for new doors to be fitted, the deputation advise that tenants do not want new doors as the original security doors are solid and therefore do not need replacing.
- The deputation believe that the above proposals would reduce the costs quoted by the contractor. They are very keen for the works to be done to their estate as soon as possible to save them from having to go through another winter of having to pay what they consider to be excessive fuel bills.
- The deputation would also like the damp and mildew problems identified in a few properties to be addressed as a priority, which could be done by R&M.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS**

##### **Comments of the Strategic Director of Housing Services**

6. To follow.

##### **REASONS FOR URGENCY**

7. The issues the deputation wishes to raise are connected with the housing investment strategy item to be considered elsewhere on the agenda.

##### **REASONS FOR LATENESS**

8. The deputation request was received on the day of the agenda despatch. It was not possible to prepare and finalise this report in time for the circulation with the main agenda.

#### **BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS**

<b>Background Papers</b>	<b>Held At</b>	<b>Contact</b>
Correspondence from the deputation	160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2TZ	Everton Roberts 020 7525 7221 / Paula Thornton 020 7525 4395

#### **APPENDICES**

<b>Appendix</b>	<b>Title</b>
None	

## AUDIT TRAIL

<b>Lead Officer</b>	Ian Millichap, Constitutional Manager	
<b>Report Author</b>	Everton Roberts, Constitutional Officer	
<b>Version</b>	Final	
<b>Dated</b>	24 May 2011	
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No	
<b>CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER</b>		
<b>Officer Title</b>	<b>Comments Sought</b>	<b>Comments included</b>
Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance	No	No
Finance Director	No	No
<b>Date final report sent to Constitutional/Community Council/Scrutiny Team</b>	24 May 2011	